



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,624	02/02/2004	Frank Josheph Homolka	HomolkaCont	6176
39208 7	590 09/15/2005		EXAMINER	
CR MILES, P.C.			REDMAN, JERRY E	
	SQUARE, SUITE 200 B NS, CO 80524		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3634	<del></del>
			DATE MAIL ED: 09/15/200	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/770,624	HOMOLKA ET AL.	A ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_	
	Jerry Redman	3634		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report of thirty within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	-	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	February 2004.			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	nis action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		rs, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 22 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		v the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	<del>+</del> · ·	• •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	an priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, p,	(-) (-) (-)		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		plication No.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
application from the International Bure	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	immary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/770,624

Art Unit: 3634

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 13, line 9, the phraseology "U.S. patent application" should be –U.S. provisional application--.

Appropriate correction is required.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 22 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim 1 of U. S. Patent No. 6,684,572 B2 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a jamb protection device, a resilient body, and a first and second compression surface engaging a first and second wall surface.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of

Application/Control Number: 10/770,624

Art Unit: 3634

the application, which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 22 is further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. patent to Wilcox (1,620,933). Wilcox ('933) discloses a jamb protection device comprising an adjustable resilient body (1), a first and second compression surface (3) responsive to the adjustable resilient body (1), and a first and second wall surface (4, the corners where elements 3 engages thereto) engaged by the first and second compression surfaces (3).

Claim 22 is further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haldeman (6,357,187 B1). Haldeman ('187) discloses a jamb protection device (10) comprising an adjustable resilient body (12), a first and second compression surface (22) responsive to the adjustable resilient body (12), and a first and second wall surface (44, the outer surfaces where elements 22 engages thereto) engaged by the first and second compression surfaces (22).

Application/Control Number: 10/770,624

Art Unit: 3634

Page 4

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. patent to Dearth discloses a hinge protector varying in thickness. U.S. patent to Weller discloses a jamb guard similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Freelove discloses a jamb protection device, which varies in thickness. U.S. patent to Raulerson et al. disclose a jamb protection device having slots extending along the length similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Dorner et al. disclose a protection device, which varies in thickness similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Adell discloses a edge guard which varies in thickness similar to that of the applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number 571-272-6835.

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner